Title	Seifert construction for nilpotent groups and Application to \$S^1\$-fibred nilBott Tower (Geometry of Transformation Groups and Combinatorics)
Author(s)	NAKAYAMA, MAYUMI
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録別冊 = RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu (2013), B39: 121-136
Issue Date	2013-04
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/207820
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu
B39 (2013), 121–136

Seifert construction for nilpotent groups and Application to S^1-fibred nilBott Tower

By

Mayumi Nakayama *

Abstract

We shall introduce a notion of *S^1-fibred nilBott tower.* It is an iterated S^1-bundle whose top space is called an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold. The nilBott tower is a generalization of *real Bott tower* from the viewpoint of fibration. We prove that any S^1-fibred nilBott manifold is *diffeomorphic* to an infranilmanifold. An S^1-fibred nilBott tower defines a sequence of group extensions. We study the group extension at each stage to apply Seifert rigidity for S^1-fibred nilBott manifolds.

§ 1. Introduction

Let M be a closed aspherical manifold which is a top space of an iterated S^1-bundles over a point:

$$M = M_n \to M_{n-1} \to \ldots \to M_1 \to \{\mathrm{pt}\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Suppose X is the universal covering of M and each X_i is the universal covering of M_i and put $\pi_1(M_i) = \pi_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n-1)$ and $\pi_1(M) = \pi$.

Definition 1.1. An *S^1-fibred nilBott tower* is a sequence (1.1) which satisfies I, II and III below $(i = 1, \ldots, n-1)$. The top space M is said to be an *S^1-fibred nilBott manifold (of depth n).*

I. M_i is a fiber space over M_{i-1} with fiber S^1.

Received September 26, 2011. Revised December 16, 2011.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 57S25, Secondary 53C25
Key Words: *Bott tower, Infranilmanifolds, Seifert Manifolds*;
*Department of Mathematics and Information of Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-Ohsawa 1-1, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, JAPAN.
e-mail: `nakayama-mayumi@ed.tmu.ac.jp`

II. For the group extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_i \longrightarrow \pi_{i-1} \to 1 \tag{1.2}$$

associated to the fiber space (I), there is an equivariant principal bundle:

$$\mathbb{R} \to X_i \xrightarrow{p_i} X_{i-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

III. Each π_i normalizes $\mathbb{R}$.

The purpose of this paper is to announce the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that M is an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold.*

(I) If every cocycle of $H^2_\phi(\pi_{i-1};\mathbb{Z})$ which represents a group extension (1.2) *is* of finite order*, then M is diffeomorphic to a Riemannian flat manifold.*

(II) If there exists a cocycle of $H^2_\phi(\pi_{i-1};\mathbb{Z})$ which represents a group extension (1.2) *is* of infinite order*, then M is diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold. In addition, M cannot be diffeomorphic to any Riemannian flat manifold.*

§ 2. Preliminaries

§ 2.1. Infrahomogeneous space

Let G be a (noncompact) simply connected Lie group, and $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ denote the group of automorphisms of G onto itself. Put $\mathrm{A}(G) = G \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. $\mathrm{A}(G)$ becomes a group;

$$(g,\alpha)\cdot(h,\beta) = (g\cdot\alpha(h), \alpha\cdot\beta)$$

$(g,h \in G, \alpha,\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G))$. $A(G)$ is called the affine group of G. Here, letting $X = G$, an affine action $(\mathrm{A}(G), X)$ is obtained as follows:

$$((g,\alpha),x) = g\cdot\alpha(x).$$

Let $H \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be a compact subgroup (for example, maximal compact subgroup, finite groups). Form a subgroup $\mathrm{E}(G) = G \rtimes H \subset \mathrm{A}(G)$. Consider the action $(\mathrm{E}(G), X)$. We note that if H is compact, then it is easy to check the following.

Lemma 2.1 (Proper action)**.** $(\mathrm{E}(G), X)$ *is a proper action.*

By Lemma 2.1, if $\pi \subset \mathrm{E}(G)$ is a discrete subgroup, we obtain a properly discontinuous action (π, X).

Definition 2.2. The quotient space X/π is said to be an infrahomogeneous orbifold. When π has no elements of finite order, π is said to be torsionfree, and X/π is called an infrahomogeneous manifold.

Example 2.3.

(1) Taking the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ as G it gives the usual affine group $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. If H is a maximal compact subgroup $\mathrm{O}(n)$ of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, we have the euclidean group $\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{O}(n)$. A discrete uniform subgroup π of $\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a crystallographic group. If $\pi \subset \mathrm{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a torsionfree crystallographic group, π is called a Bieberbach group. Moreover, the infrahomogeneous space $\mathbb{R}^n/\pi$ is an Euclidean space form, i.e. a Riemannian flat manifold.

(2) When G is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{N}$, for any torsionfree discrete uniform subgroup $\pi \subset \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{N})$, $\mathcal{N}/\pi$ is called an infranilmanifold.

We have the fundamental classical result for crystallographic groups.

Theorem 2.4 (Bieberbach first theorem)**.** *Let $\pi \subset \mathrm{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a crystallographic group, then $\mathbb{R}^n \cap \pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\pi/\mathbb{R}^n \cap \pi$ is a finite group.*

The above theorem is extended to the almost crystallographic groups. See [4] for instance.

Theorem 2.5 (Auslander-Bieberbach theorem)**.** *Let π be a torsionfree discrete uniform subgroup of $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathcal{N} \cap \pi$ is a maximal normal nilpotent subgroup of π and $\pi/\mathcal{N} \cap \pi$ is a finite group.*

§ 3. Nil Geometry

Let

$$1 \to \Delta \to \pi \to F \to 1 \tag{3.1}$$

be a group extension where π is a torsionfree group, Δ is a torsionfree finitely generated nilpotent group, and F is a finite group. By Mal'cev's *existence* theorem, there is a (simply connected) nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{N}$ containing Δ as a discrete uniform subgroup. The rest of this section is to review the following realization theorem obtained in [5].

Theorem 3.1 (Realization)**.** *There exists a discrete faithful representation $\rho : \pi \to \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\rho|\Delta = \mathrm{id}$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}/\rho(\pi)$ is an infranilmanifold.*

In order to prove this theorem, we need several facts. So we shall prepare them in turn.

§ 3.1. 2-cocycle

We recall the group cohomology. (Compare [10], [2] for example.)

Let G, Q be groups and $\phi : Q \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ a function. Suppose there is a function $f : Q \times Q \to G$ which satisfies that

(i) $\phi(\alpha)(\phi(\beta)(n)) = f(\alpha,\beta)\phi(\alpha\beta)(n)f(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}$

(ii) $f(\alpha, 1) = f(1, \alpha) = 1$,

(iii) $\phi(\alpha)(f(\beta,\gamma))f(\alpha,\beta\gamma) = f(\alpha,\beta)f(\alpha\beta,\gamma)$,

where $n \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Q$. Then f defines a group E which is the product $G \times Q$ with the group law:

$$(n,\alpha)(m,\beta) = (n \cdot \phi(\alpha)(m) \cdot f(\alpha,\beta), \alpha\beta). \tag{3.2}$$

Then there is a ϕ-group extension $1 \to G \to E \xrightarrow{\nu} Q \to 1$ where $\nu(n,\alpha) = \alpha$ and the group E is denoted by $G \times_{(f,\phi)} Q$.

Conversely, given a group extension $1 \to G \to E \xrightarrow{\nu} Q \to 1$, we can associate E with a ϕ- group extension. Choose a section $q : Q \to E$ ($\nu \circ q = \mathrm{id}$), and $q(1) = 1$. A function $\phi : Q \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is defined to be

$$\phi(\alpha)(n) = q(\alpha)nq(\alpha)^{-1} \quad (\forall\, \alpha \in Q, \forall\, n \in G).$$

Both $q(\alpha\beta)$, $q(\alpha)q(\beta)$ are mapped to $\alpha\beta \in Q$, so there is an element $f(\alpha,\beta) \in G$ such that $f(\alpha,\beta) \cdot q(\alpha\beta) = q(\alpha)q(\beta)$. Then it is easily checked that $f : Q \times Q \to G$ satisfies the above (i) (ii) (iii).

Let $\mathrm{Opext}(Q,G,\phi)$ be the set of all congruence classes of ϕ- group extensions. Then an element $[f] \in \mathrm{Opext}(Q,G,\phi)$ is represented by an extension $1 \to G \to E \to Q \to 1$ with $E = G \times_{(f,\phi)} Q$. It is easy to check that $[f_1] = [f_2] \in \mathrm{Opext}(Q,A,\phi)$ if and only if there is a function $\lambda : Q \to \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that

$$f_1(\alpha,\beta) = \delta^1\lambda(\alpha,\beta) \cdot f_2(\alpha,\beta) \quad (\forall\ \alpha,\beta \in Q). \tag{3.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is the center of G and δ^1 is defined by $\delta^1\lambda(\alpha,\beta) = \phi(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta))\lambda(\alpha)\lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1}$. For simplicity, we write it as $f_1 = \delta^1\lambda \cdot f_2$.

In particular, when G is an abelian group A, $\phi : Q \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is a homomorphism and hence A is a Q-module. So there is the group cohomology $H^2_\phi(Q,A)$ and f is a 2-cocycle by (iii), i.e. $[f] \in H^2_\phi(Q,A)$. Therefore any extension $1 \to A \to E \to Q \to 1$ corresponds to a cocycle $[f] \in H^2_\phi(Q,A)$. It is easy to check the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that A is an abelian group. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between $H^2_\phi(Q, A)$ and* $\mathrm{Opext}(Q, A, \phi)$.

Remark. Suppose $Q = F$ is a finite group and $f : F \times F \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a 2-cocycle relative to $\phi : F \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Put $h : F \to \mathbb{R}^n$;

$$h(\alpha) = \sum_{\tau \in F} f(\alpha, \tau). \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^1 h(\alpha, \beta) &= \phi(\alpha)(h(\beta)) - h(\alpha\beta) + h(\alpha) \\
&= \sum_{\tau \in F} \phi(\alpha)(f(\beta, \tau)) - \sum_{\tau \in F} f(\alpha\beta, \tau) + \sum_{\tau \in F} f(\alpha, \tau) \\
&= \sum_{\tau \in F} (f(\alpha\beta, \tau) - f(\alpha, \beta\tau) + f(\alpha, \beta)) - \sum_{\tau \in F} f(\alpha\beta, \tau) + \sum_{\tau \in F} f(\alpha, \tau) \\
&= |F| f(\alpha, \beta)
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\delta^1 \frac{1}{|F|} h = f$. It implies that

$$H^2_\phi(F; \mathbb{R}^n) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

§ 3.2. Pushout

Let π, Δ and $\mathcal{N}$ be as before and $1 \to \Delta \to \pi \to Q \to 1$ a group extension which is represented by $[f] \in \mathrm{Opext}(Q, \Delta, \phi)$. Given a function $\phi : Q \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$, Mal'cev's unique extension theorem implies that each automorphism $\phi(\alpha) : \Delta \to \Delta$ extends uniquely to an automorphism $\bar{\phi}(\alpha) : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$. In particular, this gives a correspondence $\bar{\phi} : Q \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$. Note that it is not necessarily a homomorphism. In general it satisfies

$$\bar{\phi}(\alpha)(\bar{\phi}(\beta)(x)) = f(\alpha, \beta)\bar{\phi}(\alpha\beta)(x) f(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} \ (x \in \mathcal{N}). \tag{3.6}$$

Then the "pushout" $\pi\mathcal{N} = \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in \mathcal{N}, \alpha \in Q\}$ can be constructed. Its group law is defined by $(x, \alpha) \cdot (y, \beta) = (x\bar{\phi}(\alpha)(y) f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta)$;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \pi\mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1.
\end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

This group (extension) $\pi\mathcal{N}$ is also represented by $[f] \in \mathrm{Opext}(Q, \mathcal{N}, \bar{\phi})$.

§ 3.3. Existence of the Seifert construction

Let W be a contractible smooth manifold. Suppose that a group Q acts properly discontinuously on W such that the quotient space W/Q is compact. Given a group extension:

$$1 \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \pi \xrightarrow{\nu} Q \longrightarrow 1, \tag{3.8}$$

we shall show that there is an action of π on $\mathcal{N} \times W$ which is compatible with the left translations of $\mathcal{N}$. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ be the group of all diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{N} \times W$ onto itself. $\mathcal{N}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ via an embedding: $l(n)(m, \alpha) = (nm, \alpha)$.

We denote $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ the normalizer of $l(\mathcal{N})$ in $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$. Let $\mathrm{Map}(W, \mathcal{N})$ be the set of smooth maps from W into $\mathcal{N}$. Then $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ coincides with the group $\mathrm{Map}(W, \mathcal{N}) \rtimes (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N}) \times \mathrm{Diff}(W))$ with the group law:

$$(\lambda_1, g_1, h_1)(\lambda, g, h) = ((g_1 \circ \lambda \circ h_1^{-1}) \cdot \lambda_1, g_1 g, h_1 h)$$

and

$$(\lambda, g, h)(x, w) = (g(x) \cdot \lambda(hw), hw)$$

for $(x, w) \in \mathcal{N} \times W$, defines an action on $\mathcal{N} \times W$. See [5].

We call the set (Δ, π, Q, W) a smooth data for the group extension (3.8). The following theorem is obtained in [5].

Theorem 3.3. *For any smooth data* (Δ, π, Q, W)*, there exists a continuous homomorphism* $\Psi : \pi \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ *such that* $\Psi|_{\Delta} = l$*.*

Ψ is called the Seifert construction of the smooth data (Δ, π, Q, W). We shall review the proof of [5].

Proof. Using the pushout (3.6) in § 3.2, if we show that there exists a continuous homomorphism $\bar{\Psi} : \pi\mathcal{N} \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ such that $\bar{\Psi}|_{\mathcal{N}} = l$, then a Seifert construction $\Psi : \pi \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathcal{N} \times W)$ is obtained as a restriction. Suppose there exists a $\bar{\Psi}$. For $(n, \alpha) \in \pi\mathcal{N}$, if we put $\bar{\Psi}(1, \alpha) = (\lambda, g, h) \in \mathrm{Map}(W, \mathcal{N}) \rtimes (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N}) \times \mathrm{Diff}(W))$, then $\bar{\Psi}(n, \alpha) = \ell(n)\bar{\Psi}(1, \alpha) = (n \cdot \lambda, g, h)$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\bar{\Psi}(n, \alpha) = (n \cdot \lambda(\alpha), \mu(n) \circ \bar{\phi}(\alpha), \alpha)$$

where $\lambda : Q \to \mathrm{Map}(W, \mathcal{N})$ satisfies

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = (\bar{\phi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta) \circ \alpha^{-1}) \cdot \lambda(\alpha) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in Q), \tag{3.9}$$

where f be a function representing the group extension (3.8). Therefore to guarantee the existence of such $\bar{\Psi}$, we have only to find a map λ satisfying the condition (3.9).

Remark that if $\mathcal{N}$ is a vector space V then $\mathrm{Map}(W,V)$ is a topological group with Q-action by

$$\alpha\cdot\lambda(w)=\bar{\phi}(\alpha)(\lambda(\alpha^{-1}w)). \tag{3.10}$$

So we have a group cohomology $H^2_{\bar{\phi}}(Q,\mathrm{Map}(W,V))$. First note that $H^2_{\bar{\phi}}(Q,\mathrm{Map}(W,V))=0$ for any vector space V. This vanishing is obtained by using Shapiro's lemma. (See [3], page 251, Lemma 8.4.)

By induction, we suppose that the statement is true for any nilpotent Lie group whose dimension is less than $\dim\mathcal{N}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the center of $\mathcal{N}$ and put $\mathcal{N}_1=\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{C}$, $\pi\mathcal{N}_1=\pi\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{C}$. Consider the group extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \pi\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Q & \longrightarrow & 1\\
 & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \big\| & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_1 & \longrightarrow & \pi\mathcal{N}_1 & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & Q & \longrightarrow & 1,
\end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

with a section $q_1=p\circ q$ of ν_1 where q is a section to ν. The section q_1 determines $f_1:Q\times Q\to\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\bar{\phi}_1:Q\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_1)$ as in §3.1. We suppose by induction on the dimension of $\mathcal{N}$ that there exists $\lambda_1:Q\to\mathrm{Map}(W,\mathcal{N}_1)$ such that

$$f_1(\alpha,\beta)=(\bar{\phi}_1(\alpha)\circ\lambda_1(\beta)\circ\alpha^{-1})\cdot\lambda_1(\alpha)\cdot\lambda_1(\alpha\beta)^{-1}$$

Choose any lift $\lambda':Q\to\mathrm{Map}(W,\mathcal{N})$ of λ_1 so that $\lambda_1=p\circ\lambda'$. Put

$$g(\alpha,\beta)=(\bar{\phi}(\alpha)\circ\lambda'(\beta)\circ\alpha^{-1})\cdot\lambda'(\alpha)\cdot\lambda'(\alpha\beta)^{-1},$$

then there exists an element $c(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathrm{Map}(W,\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$f(\alpha,\beta)=c(\alpha,\beta)\cdot g(\alpha,\beta).$$

Since both f and g satisfy (iii) in §3.1, c is also a 2-cocycle i.e. $[c]\in H^2_{\bar{\phi}}(Q,\mathrm{Map}(W,\mathcal{C}))$ which vanishes because $\mathcal{C}$ is a vector space. So there is a function $\eta:Q\to\mathrm{Map}(W,\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$c(\alpha,\beta)=(\bar{\phi}_1(\alpha)\circ\eta(\beta)\circ\alpha^{-1})\cdot\eta(\alpha)\cdot\eta(\alpha\beta)^{-1}.$$

Put $\lambda=\eta\cdot\lambda':Q\to\mathrm{Map}(W,\mathcal{N})$, then λ satisfies (3.9). $\square$

Remark. Let $1\to\mathbb{Z}\to\pi_i\longrightarrow\pi_{i-1}\to 1$ be a group extension as in (1.2). Then π_i acts on the universal cover X_i of M_i as freely. Assume that $\Psi_i:\pi_i\to\mathrm{Diff}(X_i)$ is the representation homomorphism for this action (π_i,X_i), then $\Psi_i:\pi_i\to\Psi_i(\pi_i)$ is the Seifert construction of the smooth data $(\mathbb{Z},\pi_i,\pi_{i-1},X_{i-1})$.

§ 3.4. Infranilmanifold

Let $(\Delta, \pi, F, \{pt\})$ be a smooth data with finite group F and f a function representing the given group extension $1 \to \Delta \to \pi \to F \to 1$. In the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can obtain a 1-chain $\chi : F \to \mathcal{N}$ such that $f = \delta^1\chi$;

$$f(\alpha,\beta) = \bar{\phi}(\alpha)(\chi(\beta))\chi(\alpha)\chi(\alpha\beta)^{-1}\ (\alpha,\beta \in F). \tag{3.12}$$

We shall repeat the construction of χ for our use. Let $\bar{f} : F \times F \to \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{C}$ be a function which represents $1 \to \mathcal{N}_1 \to \pi\mathcal{N}_1 \to F \to 1$, then we suppose $\bar{f} = \delta^1\bar{\lambda}$ for some function $\bar{\lambda} : F \to \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{C}$ by induction. Choose a lift $\lambda : F \to \mathcal{N}$ of $\bar{\lambda}$. It is easy to see the function $g = f \cdot (\delta^1\lambda)^{-1}$ is a cocycle lying in $\mathcal{C}$, that is $[g] \in H^2_{\bar{\phi}}(F, \mathcal{C})$. As $H^2_{\bar{\phi}}(F, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ from (3.5), there is a map $\mu : F \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\delta^1\mu = g$. Then $f = \delta^1(\mu \cdot \lambda)$ and the 1-chain χ denoted by $\mu \cdot \lambda$.

Now define an automorphism of $\mathcal{N}$ $h(\alpha) : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ for each $\alpha \in F$ to be

$$h(\alpha)(x) = \chi(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \bar{\phi}(\alpha)(x) \cdot \chi(\alpha)\ (x \in \mathcal{N}).$$

Using (3.6), we can prove that $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in F$. Therefore $h : F \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ is a homomorphism. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ is a noncompact Lie group, it has a maximal compact group $\mathcal{K}$. Then the finite subgroup $h(F)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{K}$. We can assume that $h(F) \subset \mathcal{K}$.

Define $\rho : \pi \to E(\mathcal{N})$ to be

$$\rho((n,\alpha)) = (n\chi(\alpha), h(\alpha))\ (n \in \Delta, \alpha \in F). \tag{3.13}$$

It is easy to check that ρ is a homomorphism. We define an action of π on $\mathcal{N}$ to be

$$((n,\alpha), x) = \rho(n,\alpha)(x) = n\bar{\phi}(\alpha)(x)\chi(\alpha)\quad ((n,\alpha) \in \pi). \tag{3.14}$$

Theorem 3.1 is obtained by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *The action $(\pi, \mathcal{N})$ is a properly discontinuous free action. In particular, ρ is a faithful representation.*

Proof. First note that $\rho|_\Delta = id$, so Δ is contained in $\rho(\pi)$. Since Δ acts as left translations of $\mathcal{N}$ from (3.13), it acts properly discontinuously and freely. Moreover since Δ is a finite index subgroup of $\rho(\pi)$ from (3.1), $\rho(\pi)$ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{N}$.

Let $(n,\alpha) \in \operatorname{Ker}\rho$ be an element of π. Then $((n,\alpha), x) = x$ $(\forall\, x \in \mathcal{N})$ by (3.14). As π acts properly discontinuously, (n,α) is of finite order. On the other hand, π is torsionfree, we obtain $(n,\alpha) = 1$ and so ρ is faithful. □

The following remark shows that ρ is a Seifert construction (cf. Theorem 3.3).

Remark. Let $\mathrm{A}(\mathcal{N})^*$ be a group which is the product $\mathcal{N}\times\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ with the group law:

$$(n,\alpha)\cdot(m,\beta)=(\alpha(m)\cdot n,\alpha\cdot\beta)$$

for $n,m\in\mathcal{N}$, and $\alpha,\beta\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$. The action $(\mathrm{A}(\mathcal{N})^*,\mathcal{N})$ is obtained as follows:

$$((n,\alpha),x)=\alpha(x)\cdot n$$

for $x\in\mathcal{N}$. Then there is an isomorphism $\delta:\mathrm{A}(\mathcal{N})^*\to\mathrm{A}(\mathcal{N})$ defined by $\delta(n,\alpha)=(n,\mu(n^{-1})(\alpha))$. Here $\mu:\mathcal{N}\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ is the conjugation homomorphism: $\mu(n)(x)=nxn^{-1}$. It is easily checked that

$$((n,\alpha),x)=(\delta(n,\alpha),x)$$

This shows that the affine action $(\mathrm{A}(\mathcal{N}),\mathcal{N})$ coincides with the above action $(\mathrm{A}(\mathcal{N})^*,\mathcal{N})$.

Remark. There is a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{N}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & 1\\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \cup & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}\cap\rho(\pi) & \longrightarrow & \rho(\pi) & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & 1.
\end{array} \tag{3.15}$$

By the theorem of Auslander-Bieberbach, $\mathcal{N}\cap\rho(\pi)$ is a maximal normal nilpotent subgroup of $\rho(\pi)$. Note that $\Delta\subset\mathcal{N}\cap\rho(\pi)$, so if Δ is maximal, then $\Delta=\mathcal{N}\cap\rho(\pi)$.

§ 3.5. Seifert rigidity

Let Δ_i be a discrete uniform subgroup of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{N}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ respectively. Let Ψ_1, Ψ_2 be Seifert constructions for smooth data (Δ_1,π_1,Q_1,W_1), (Δ_2,π_2,Q_2,W_2) respectively. Suppose there exists an isomorphism $\theta:\pi_1\to\pi_2$ inducing isomorphisms $\bar\theta:\Delta_1\to\Delta_2$, $\hat\theta:Q_1\to Q_2$. Furthermore (Q_1,W_1) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to (Q_2,W_2). with respect to $\hat\theta$. Then *Seifert rigidity* shows that $(\Psi_2(\pi_1),\mathcal{N}_1\times W_1)$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $(\Psi_1(\pi_2),\mathcal{N}_2\times W_2)$. See [5], page 441.

§ 4. S^1-fibred nilBott tower

This section is to give an idea of proof of Theorem 1.2. The details will appear in [13]. (See also [6].) Let M_i be an S^1-*fibred nilBott manifold* $(i=1,\dots,n)$. Let

$$1\to\mathbb{Z}\to\pi_i\longrightarrow\pi_{i-1}\to 1, \tag{4.1}$$

be a group extension associated with a fiber space:

$$S^1 \to M_i \to M_{i-1}. \tag{4.2}$$

The conjugate by each element of π_i defines a homomorphism $\phi : \pi_{i-1} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\}$, so that the above group extension represents a 2-cocycle in $H^2_\phi(\pi_{i-1}; \mathbb{Z})$. Then we can find the commutative diagram of central extensions for each i:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\Delta}_i & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{R} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{N}_i} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 1, \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ and Δ_{i-1} are torsionfree finitely generated normal nilpotent subgroups of finite index in π_i and π_{i-1} respectively. And $\tilde{\mathcal{N}_i}$, $\mathcal{N}_{i-1}$ are simply connected nilpotent Lie groups containing $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ and Δ_{i-1} as a discrete cocompact subgroup, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & F & & F & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi_i & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\Delta}_i & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & 1 & & 1 & & \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

From Theorem 3.1 (see [5]) there exists a faithful representation

$$\rho_i : \pi_i \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}_i}) \tag{4.5}$$

for which $\rho_i|_{\tilde{\Delta}_i} = \mathrm{id}$ and the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{N}_i}/\rho_i(\pi_i)$ is an infranilmanifold. On the other hand, (4.5) induces the following group extension:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi_i & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & || & & {\scriptstyle \rho_i}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \hat{\rho}_i}\downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \rho_i(\pi_i) & \longrightarrow & \hat{\rho}_i(\pi_{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ centralizes $\mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}_i}$ centralizes R in (4.3). And $\hat{\rho}_i$ is a monomorphsim from π_{i-1} into $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{N}_{i-1})$. Thus we have two Seifert fibrations

$$(\mathbb{Z}, \mathsf{R}) \to (\rho_i(\pi_i), \tilde{\mathcal{N}_i}) \xrightarrow{\nu_i} (\hat{\rho}_i(\pi_{i-1}), \mathcal{N}_{i-1})$$

and

$$(\mathbb{Z}, \mathsf{R}) \to (\pi_i, X_i) \xrightarrow{p_i} (\pi_{i-1}, X_{i-1})$$

(cf. (1.3)).

By induction, assume that the isomorphism $\hat{\rho}$ induces an equivariant diffeomorphism of (π_{i-1}, X_{i-1}) onto $(\hat{\rho}_i(\pi_{i-1}), \mathcal{N}_{i-1})$. Then Seifert rigidity implies that (π_i, X_i) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $(\rho_i(\pi_i), \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i)$. Let $M = X_n/\pi_n$. As a consequence, M is diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_n/\rho_n(\pi_n)$.

We conclude that any S^1-fibred nilBott manifold M is diffeomorphici to an infranilmanifold. According to Cases I, II stated in Theorem 1.2, we prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_n$ is isomorphic to a vector space or $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_n$ is a nilpotent Lie group but not a vector space respectively (cf. [13]).

In order to study S^1-fibred nilBott manifolds further, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 4.1. If an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold M satisfies Case I (respectively Case II) of Theorem 1.2, then M is said to be an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of finite type (respectively of infinite type). Apparently there is no intersection between finite type and infinite type. And S^1-fibred nilBott manifolds are of finite type until dimension 2.

Remark. Let M be an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of finite type, then $\rho(\pi)$ is a Bieberbach group (cf. Theorem 1.2). By the Bieberbach Theorem, $\rho(\pi)$ satisfies a group extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^n \to \rho(\pi) \longrightarrow H \to 1 \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^n = \rho(\pi) \cap \mathbb{R}^n$, and H is the holonomy group of $\rho(\pi)$. By Proposition 3.4, we may identify $\rho(\pi)$ with π whenever π is torsionfree.

The following Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 have been proved. See [13] for details.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose M is an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of finite type. Then the holonomy group of π is isomorphic to the power of cyclic group of order two $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^s$ in $(0 \leq s \leq n)$.*

Corollary 4.3. *Each S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of finite type M admits a homologically injective T^k-action where $k = \operatorname{Rank} H_1(M)$. Moreover, the action is maximal, i.e. $k = \operatorname{Rank} C(\pi)$.*

§ 4.1. S^1-fibred nilBott manifolds of depth 3 (Case I).

By the definition of S^1-fibred nilBott manifold M_n of depth n, M_2 is either a torus or a Klein bottle. In particular, M_2 is a Riemannian flat manifold. A 3-dimensional S^1-fibred nilBott manifold M_3 is either a Riemannian flat manifold or an infranil-Heisenberg manifold in accordance with the cases I (finite type) or II (infinite type) of Theorem 1.2.

On the other hand, there are 10-isomorphism classes $\mathcal{G}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_6$, $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_4$ of 3-dimensional Riemannian flat manifolds. (Refer to Wolf [18] for the classification of 3-dimensional Riemannian flat manifolds.) Among these, real Bott manifolds consist of 4; $\mathcal{G}_1$, $\mathcal{G}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_3$. (See [15].) We shall show that $\mathcal{B}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_4$ are S^1-fibred nilBott manifolds.

$\mathcal{B}_2$: $T^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ whose holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle\alpha\rangle$ acts on T^3;

$$\alpha(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (-z_1z_3, z_2z_3, \bar{z}_3).$$

Define an S^1-action on T^3 by

$$t(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (tz_1, tz_2, z_3).$$

Then it is easy to see that the S^1-action induces an S^1-action on $T^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ naturally. This gives a principal bundle

$$S^1 \to T^3/\mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow K$$

where K is a Klein bottle. So the tower of S^1-fiber bundles

$$T^3/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to K \to S^1 \to \{\mathrm{pt}\}$$

is an S^1-fibred nilBott tower.

$\mathcal{B}_4$: $T^3/(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ whose holonomy group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 = \langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ acts on T^3;

$$\alpha(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (-z_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3),$$
$$\beta(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1, -z_2, -\bar{z}_3).$$

Denote an action of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ on T^2 by

$$\hat{\alpha}(z_1, z_2) = (-z_1, \bar{z}_2),$$
$$\hat{\beta}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, -z_2).$$

The quotient manifold is the Klein bottle $T^2/(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 = (S^1 \times \mathbb{RP}^1)/\mathbb{Z}_2 = K$. The projection $P(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1, z_2)$ is equivariant with respect to the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$-action on T^2. So the tower

$$T^3/(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \to K \to S^1 \to \{\mathrm{pt}\}$$

is an S^1-fibred nilBott tower.

Proposition 4.4. *The 3-dimensional S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of finite type are those of $\mathcal{G}_1$, $\mathcal{G}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_3$, $\mathcal{B}_4$.*

Proof. As any real Bott manifold is an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of finite type (cf. [7]), consider Reimannian flat manifolds $\mathcal{G}_3$, $\mathcal{G}_4$, $\mathcal{G}_5$, $\mathcal{G}_6$, $\mathcal{B}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_4$ which are not real Bott manifolds. Since holonomy groups are the product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by Proposition 4.2, the remaining cases are either $\mathcal{G}_6$, $\mathcal{B}_2$, or $\mathcal{B}_4$ from the list [18]. Moreover, by Corollary 4.3, an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold M of finite type admits a homologically injective T^k-action for $k = \operatorname{Rank} H_1(M)$ $(k \geq 1)$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}^k$ is a direct summand of $H_1(M)$. By the classification of the first homology (cf. [18]), $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_4$ for $\mathcal{G}_6$. So it cannot admit a structure of S^1-fibred nilBott manifold. For Riemannian flat 3-manifolds corresponding to $\mathcal{B}_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_4$, we have shown that they admit S^1-fibred nilBott tower. $\square$

§ 4.2. S^1-fibred nilBott manifolds of depth 3 (CaseII).

The 3-dimensional simply connected nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{N}$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg Lie group N_3 which is the product $R \times \mathbb{C}$ with group law:

$$(x, z) \cdot (y, w) = (x + y - \mathrm{Im}\bar{z}w, z + w).$$

Then the maximal compact Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(N_3)$ is $\mathrm{U}(1) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$ which acts on N_3

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}(x, z) &= (x, e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} z) \ (e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \in \mathrm{U}(1)), \\ \tau(x, z) &= (-x, \bar{z}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

A 3-dimensional compact infranilmanifold is obtained as a quotient N_3/Γ where Γ is a torsionfree discrete uniform subgroup of $\mathrm{E}(N_3) = N_3 \rtimes (\mathrm{U}(1) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle)$. (See [4].)

Let

$$S^1 \to M_3 \to M_2$$

be an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold of infinite type which has a group extension $1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_3 \to \pi_2 \to 1$. Since $\mathsf{R} \subset N_3$ is the center of N_3, there is a commutative diagram of central extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\Delta}_3 & \longrightarrow & \Delta_2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{R} & \longrightarrow & N_3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

(cf. (4.3)). Using this, we obtain an embedding:

$$
(4.10) \qquad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi_3 & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \iota\big\downarrow & & \rho\big\downarrow & & \hat{\rho}\big\downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{E}(N_3) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \rtimes (\mathrm{U}(1) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle) & \longrightarrow & 1.
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{C} \rtimes (\mathrm{U}(1) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{O}(2) = \mathrm{E}(2)$. Since $\mathsf{R} \cap \pi_3 = \mathbb{Z}$ from (4.10), $\hat{\rho}(\pi_2)$ is a Bieberbach group in $\mathrm{E}(2)$ so that $\mathbb{R}^2/\hat{\rho}(\pi_2)$ is either T^2 or K.

We shall consider the following two cases.
Case (i): The holonomy group of π_3 is trivial.
Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define $\Delta(k)$ to be a subgroup of N_3 generated by

$$c = (2k, 0), a = (0, k), b = (0, k\mathbf{i}).$$

Put $\mathsf{Z} = \langle c\rangle$ which is a central subgroup of $\Delta(k)$. It is easy to see that

$$(4.11) \qquad [a, b] = c^{-k}.$$

Since R is the center of N_3, we have a principal bundle

$$S^1 = \mathsf{R}/\mathsf{Z} \to N_3/\Delta(k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Then the euler number of the fibration is $\pm k$. (See [12] for example.)

Case (ii): The holonomy group is nontrivial.
Let $\Gamma(k)$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{E}(N_3)$ generated by

$$n = ((k, 0), I)\,,\ \alpha = \left((0, \frac{k}{2}), \tau\right),\ \beta = ((0, k\mathbf{i}), I)\,.$$

Note that $\alpha^2 = ((0, k), I)$. Then it is easy to check that

$$(4.12) \qquad \alpha n \alpha^{-1} = n^{-1},\ \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} = n^k\beta^{-1},\ \beta n \beta^{-1} = n.$$

Then $M_3 = N_3/\Gamma(k)$ is an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold:

$$S^1 \to N_3/\Gamma(k) \to K$$

where $S^1 = \mathsf{R}/\langle n\rangle$ is the fiber (but not an action).

Proposition 4.5. *A 3-dimensional S^1-fibred nilBott manifold M_3 of infinite type is either a Heisenberg nilmanifold $N_3/\Delta(k)$ or an infranilmanifold $N_3/\Gamma(k)$.*

The details of Proposition 4.5 will appear in [13]. Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 are also obtained independently by Lee and Masuda (cf. [11]).

Remark. Originally, the 3×3-unipotent upper traingular matrices N is called the Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group. Of course N_3 is isomorphic to N. We use N_3 because it is easy to see the automorphism group $\mathrm{E}(N_3)$.

§ 5. Further remarks

Let $Q = \pi_1(K)$ be the fundamental group of the Klein bottle K. Q has a presentation:

(5.1) $$\{g, h \mid ghg^{-1} = h^{-1}\}.$$

A group extension $1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi \to G \to 1$ for any 3-dimensional S^1-fibred nilBott manifold over K represents a 2-cocycle in $H^2_\phi(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ for some representation ϕ. Conversely, given a representation ϕ, we prove in [13] that any elements of $H^2_\phi(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ can be realized as an S^1-fibred nilBott manifold, we have obtained the following table.

		Case 1	Case2	Case3	Case4
	$H^2_\phi(Q, \mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
$\pi_1(M)$	$[f] = 0$	$\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_1)$	$\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_3)$	$\pi_1(\mathcal{G}_2)$	$\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_3)$
	$[f] \neq 0$: torsion	$\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_2)$	$\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_4)$	-	$\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_4)$
	$[f] \neq 0$: torsionfree	-	-	$\Gamma(k)$	-

Here

Case1. : $\phi(g) = 1,\ \phi(h) = 1,$

Case2. : $\phi(g) = 1,\ \phi(h) = -1,$

Case3. : $\phi(g) = -1,\ \phi(h) = 1,$

Case4. : $\phi(g) = -1,\ \phi(h) = -1.$

References

[1] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, *Academic Press, New York*, 1972.

[2] K. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, *GTM, Springer-Verlag*, 1982.

[3] P.E. Conner and F. Raymond, *Actions of compact Lie groups on aspherical manifolds*, *Topology of Manifolds, Proceedings Inst. Univ. of Georgia, Athens*, 1969, Markham (1970), 227-264.

[4] K. Dekimpe, *Almost-Bieberbach groups:Affine and Polynomial structures*, Lecture Notes *in Math.*, 1639 (1996), Springer-Verlag.

[5] Y. Kamishima, K.B. Lee and F. Raymond, *The Seifert construction and its applications to infranil manifolds*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford (2), **34** (1983), 433-452.

[6] Y. Kamishima and M. Nakayama, *On the nil-Bott Tower*, In prepration.

[7] Y. Kamishima and Admi Nazra, *Seifert fibred structure and rigidity on real Bott towers, Contemp. Math.*, vol. 501, 103-122 (2009).

[8] K.B. Lee and F. Raymond, *Seifert manifold*, *Handbook of Geometric Topology, R.J. Daverman and R. Sher (eds.), North-Holland (2002), 635-705.*

[9] K.B. Lee and F. Raymond, *Geometric realization of group extensions by the Seifertconstruction*, *Contemporary Math. 33 (1984), 353-411.*

[10] S. Maclane, *Homology*, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* vol. 114 Springer, Berlin, New York 1967.

[11] J. B. Lee, and M. Masuda, *Topology of iterated S^1-bundles*, Preprint, arXiv:1108.0293 math.AT (2011).

[12] J. Milnor, *On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds $M(p,q,r)$*, *Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press* No. 84 (1975), 175–225.

[13] M. Nakayama, *On the S^1-fibred nil-Bott Tower*, In prepration.

[14] A. Nazra, *Diffeomorphism Classes of Real Bott Manifolds*, *Tokyo J. Math. 34 (2011), 229-260.*

[15] A. Nazra, *Geometry of Transformation Groups and Related Topics, Diffeomorphism Type of Real Bott Towers*, *RIMS Kokyuroku 1612, Kyoto University, September 2008, 165-176.*

[16] V. Puppe, *Multiplicative aspects of the Halperin-Carlsson conjecture*, *Georgian Math. J. 16 (2009), no. 2, 369-379.*

[17] M.S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, *Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete* vol. 68 Springer, Berlin, New York 1972.

[18] J. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, *McGraw-Hill, Inc.*, 1967.